



## C40 CEM I

### Key Information

<b>General Process Description</b>	This dataset represents average end-of-life conditions for C40 concrete manufactured with CEM I Portland cement.
<b>Reference Flow</b>	1kg of concrete
<b>Reference Year</b>	2012

### Modelling & Assumptions

#### Detailed model description

This dataset represents average end-of-life conditions for C40 concrete manufactured with CEM I Portland cement and used in a building in the UK. The reference unit is 1kg of concrete. No reinforcement is included. Users wishing to use this data to make comparisons between different structures and/or different materials should consider the amount of material required for the relevant structural function as comparing on a per kg basis may be misleading.

The concrete is assumed to be made from 100% primary material i.e. with no recycled content in either the cement or aggregates.

#### **Recycling Rates**

The recycling, reuse and landfill rates used in modelling the end of life treatment of C40 (CEM I) are as follows.

Material	Concrete
<b>Recycling Rate</b>	Recycling: 90% Landfill: 10%
<b>Reference</b>	[BRE 2012]

The BRE end-of-life data were based on research into the end of life treatment of construction materials in the UK and were therefore deemed fully representative of average end-of-life treatment of concrete in the UK.

#### **Module Description**

The dataset includes the following waste processing steps (EN 15804 module code shown in brackets):

- **Demolition (C1):** Demolition has been modelled based on information related to the demolition of office building structural systems [Athena 1997]. The cited report listed energy demands from diesel for the demolition of concrete, wood and steel-based structural frames. Energy demand varies depending on the type of building element being demolished,

so an average for 1kg of concrete was made. Overall, the average energy demand for demolition from diesel was calculated to be 0.068 MJ/kg.

- **Transport of Concrete (C2):** Transport distances for concrete are based on average transport distances for waste concrete to waste transfer stations or directly to recycling centres and landfill [BRE 2012]. Using these figures, the distance for concrete sent to recycling was assumed to be 20km. For waste sent to landfill this was 22km. Transport was assumed to be in industrial waste skips (>12m<sup>3</sup> up to 20t), with skips unloaded on the outward journey and fully loaded on the return.

- **Concrete crushing (C3):** Concrete crushing is based on a generic crusher used for processing construction rubble. The overall loss rate of the crusher used for modelling this process was 3.1%

- **Landfill of concrete (C4):** The dataset used for modelling the landfill of concrete represents the environmental profile of inert waste in a typical European municipal waste landfill. Recarbonation of concrete in landfill has also been included based on the method outlined in the BRE environmental profiles methodology document [BRE 2007].

- **Benefits/Loads associated with rec. concrete (D):** Crushed concrete generated from the recycling process can be used as aggregates or fill materials for a number of construction applications including road building or as an aggregate for fresh concrete. To reflect the potential benefits associated with using crushed concrete in place of virgin aggregates, an average was made of different rocks used in construction applications (including road building) using information from the Office of National Statistics related to quantities of minerals extracted in Great Britain in 2010 [ONS 2011]. Included in this average were limestone, igneous rock, unspecified mixed crushed rock, sand and gravel. Recarbonation of the recycled aggregate is not included in module D in accordance with the BRE's EN15804 Product Category Rules [BRE 2013].

## Representativeness

### Time representativeness

Recycling rates and other assumptions are based on the most recent data available, the oldest of which was published ten years ago. Background data is for the year 2013.

### Geographical Representativeness

The methods and rates modelled are based on research of concrete disposal and disposal of the component materials in the UK. Background datasets are UK specific, EU average or Global average (see included datasets list), but are deemed representative for end of life waste treatment in the UK

### Technological Representativeness

All technological processes deemed relevant for waste treatment of concrete in the UK have been modelled.

## Included Datasets

### Dataset List

GB: Thermal Energy from Light Fuel Oil  
EU-27: Diesel Mix  
Global: Euro 5 Truck, 9.3t payload capacity  
Global: Euro 5 Truck, 22t payload capacity  
DE: Processing Facility (Construction Rubble)  
EU-27: Lubricants  
EU-27: Wax/Paraffin  
EU-27: Light Fuel Oil  
EU-27: Landfill of inert waste  
RER: Gravel 2/32  
RER: Sand 0/2  
DE: Limestone, crushed  
DE: Lava granulate  
DE: Crushed Rock 16-32mm

## Conformity with EN 15804

The models used in this work have been designed to be conformant with the EN 15804 standard and all upstream datasets used are also conformant with the standard.

Both the model and results have been produced in line with the EN 15804 standard and have undergone quality assurance by experts within PE INTERNATIONAL. However, no formal review process through a third party has been undertaken therefore the results are unverified.

## Environmental Parameters Derived from the LCA

Parameters describing environmental impacts		C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Global Warming Potential	kg CO2 eq.	0.0056	0.0017	0.0024	-0.0054	-0.0053
Ozone Depletion Potential	kg CFC11 eq.	3.85E-15	8.19E-15	3.41E-14	1.84E-14	-3.55E-13
Acidification Potential	kg SO2 eq.	1.14E-05	5.41E-06	1.81E-05	8.62E-06	-1.99E-05
Eutrophication Potential	kg PO4 eq.	2.23E-06	1.15E-06	3.94E-06	1.18E-06	-3.85E-06
Photochemical Ozone Creation Potential	kg Ethene eq.	1.03E-06	-1.58E-06	2.52E-06	8.09E-07	7.31E-09
Abiotic Depletion Potential (elements)	kg Sb eq.	6.19E-11	6.44E-11	3.58E-09	5.10E-10	-7.47E-10
Abiotic Depletion Potential (fossil)	MJ	0.077	0.024	0.046	0.018	-0.063

Parameters describing primary energy		C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials	MJ, net calorific value	6.54E-05	9.30E-04	1.44E-03	1.54E-03	-1.02E-02
Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials	MJ, net calorific value	0	0	0	0	0
Total use of renewable primary energy resources	MJ, net calorific value	6.54E-05	9.30E-04	1.44E-03	1.54E-03	-1.02E-02
Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials	MJ, net calorific value	0.077	0.024	0.047	0.019	-0.075
Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials	MJ, net calorific value	0	0	0	0	0
Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources	MJ, net calorific value	0.077	0.024	0.047	0.019	-0.075
Use of secondary material	kg	0	0	0	0	0.873
Use of renewable secondary fuels	MJ, net calorific value	3.82E-07	1.52E-07	0.00E+00	3.33E-05	-9.79E-06
Use of non-renewable secondary fuels	MJ, net calorific value	4.00E-06	1.59E-06	0.00E+00	7.20E-05	-2.99E-05
Net use of fresh water	m <sup>3</sup>	3.61E-07	6.56E-07	1.10E-05	-7.11E-05	-1.66E-04

Other environmental information describing waste categories		C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	7.55E-08	5.39E-08	6.19E-07	8.36E-07	-1.16E-05
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	9.44E-06	2.98E-06	2.01E-05	1.00E-01	-2.63E-02
Radioactive waste disposed	kg	7.16E-08	3.10E-08	4.95E-07	3.25E-07	-4.80E-06

Other environmental information describing output flows		C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Components for re-use	kg	0	0	0	0	0
Materials for recycling	kg	0	0	0.873	0	0
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0	0	0	0	0
Exported energy	MJ per energy carrier	0	0	0	0	0

## References

- Athena 1997      Athena Sustainable Materials Institute, 1997. *Demolition Energy Analysis of Office Building Structural Systems*.
- BRE 2007      BRE, 2007. *Methodology for Environmental Profiles of Construction Products*, Appendix 5, p. 68. BRE: Watford.
- BRE 2012      Anderson, J., Adams, K. and Shiers, D., 2012. *Minimising the Environmental Impact of Construction Waste*. In press. BRE: Watford
- BRE 2013      BRE, 2013. *Product Category Rules for Type III environmental declaration of construction products to EN 15804:2012*. BRE: Watford
- BS EN 15804:2012      British Standards Institution, 2012. *BS EN 15804:2012 Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products*. London: BSI
- ONS 2011      Office for National Statistics, 2011. *Mineral Extraction in Great Britain - 2010*. Newport: ONS