Steel Bridge Group

Comparison of bolted and welded splices

No. 1.09

Scope

For all except the shortest bridges (under 27 m overall length) site splices of the main girders are likely to be necessary, due to limitations of fabrication, transport or erection. Whether such splices should be welded or bolted is a frequent subject of discussion; each method of splicing

has its advantages and disadvantages, not all of which are always recognised at the design stage. The purpose of this Note is to present a summary comparison of the two types, to aid the selection process. The comparison is made in tabular format, for ease of use.

BOLTED SPLICE

WELDED SPLICE

Appearance

Bridge designers and clients can be oversensitive to the visual impact of bolted splices.

outline (e.g. M24 bolts with a 15-20 mm thick bottom flange cover plates), will be painted the same colour as the remainder of the deck and are usually in the shadow of the cantilevers.

The use of bolted splices may be in keeping with the industrial nature of the area if there are, for example, riveted structures nearby.

Bolted splices may occasionally be considered Welds may be ground flush for a landmark unacceptable in areas where pedestrians can observe the bridge at close quarters.

The appearance is slightly better when the heads, rather than the nuts, are on the visible faces of the structure.

Bolted splices are less noticeable when a dark shade of paint is used.

For all columns in the central reserves of roads, beam splices equidistant on either side of each column look better than asymmetric positioning, although sometimes the solution is a single splice

Bolted splices are usually relatively small in Cleaner line to steelwork. However, the welded beam will not be completely devoid of visible features; the position of the welded splices will still be observable, and deformation of thin stiffened webs (arising from weld distortion) may be visible in certain lighting conditions.

> structure in a sensitive area although care must then be used to avoid creating a visibly different surface texture; it is almost impossible to eliminate grind markings from showing through the paint.

Structural performance

the splice position, not the full strength of the tion butt welds. section.

The splice detail generally does not govern The splice detail may reduce fatigue life. fatigue life. A detail category of 112 is produced if preloaded bolts and double cover plates are provided, and minimum edge and pitch details meet the requirements of Table 8.1 in EN 1993-1-9. It should be noted that these minimum distances are slightly greater than those in EN 1993-1-8.

A bolted joint may be designed for the loads at A full strength joint is achieved with full penetra-

With plated and rolled sections, a class 112 detail can be achieved by using run-on and run-off plates, welding from both sides and grinding flush - see Table 8.3 of EN 1993-1-9. For plates thicker than 25 mm, a reduction applies. Without grinding flush, a class 90 detail is obtained.

No. 1.09

BOLTED SPLICE	WELDED SPLICE
	- When cope holes are provided (to allow access to make the flange butt welds) the opening creates a class 71 detail in the flange and introduces a stress concentration factor of 2.4 in the web at the top of the hole (so it is best to avoid a butt weld in the web at the cope hole). It is best to butt weld an infill piece into the cope hole.
Practicality of Construction	
Cover plates and limited number of bolts can be used to support the girder during erection.	Temporary supports or landing beams required to support the girder until welding is complete. Temporary cleats also required to align joint (these have to be welded and removed later).
Easier control over finished shape of the girder can be exercised when templating techniques are used during fabrication and assembly to ensure accuracy in matching adjacent members. On the other hand, normal clearance bolt holes permit significant rotational adjustment and some longitudinal and vertical adjustment.	due to shrinkage and distortions caused by welding. On the other hand, longitudinal toler- ances can be accommodated by varying weld gaps (but welding parameters may then need to
Splices can be completed by the erectors.	Qualified welders, working to approved procedures, are needed to complete splices, to ensure the required geometry.
Splice is not weather-dependent for bolting up. Tent around the splice not usually required. Joints will dry out naturally in warm weather, especially when protected by a deck.	pre-heat), metal spraying and completion of
spraying and aluminium epoxy sealer application to the splice components is carried out in	Site welding, cleaning, spraying and painting of spliced regions require greater attention and increased supervision, with application conditions being less easy to control (especially significant for blasting).
Econ	omics
The general rule is that bolted site splices are	Walded site splices are generally more expen-

The general rule is that bolted site splices are Welded site splices are generally more expencheaper.

sive because of costs of welding plant, procedure trials, protection of joints, NDT, electrode storage, addition/removal of fairing aids (to align parts to be welded) and additional restraints to beams.

Even for large jobs site bolting may still be more However, for large jobs (e.g. 500 connections, economic than welding because of the considerable reductions in time spent on site and, particularly, the duration of steel erection.

see Ref 1), welding may be more economical.

All blast cleaning carried out in the shop.

Blast cleaning around welds carried out on site. (The cost of this additional activity is probably accounted for in Ref 1)

BOLTED SPLICE	WELDED SPLICE	
All aluminium metal spray application carried out in the shop.	The extra expense of site metal spraying is not included in Ref 1, as metal spray is not always required.	
Lower supervisory costs.	Greater supervisory costs due to greater level of checking and requirement for specialist knowledge.	
Less traffic disruption during erection of spans particularly over existing motorways.	Greater traffic disruption due to longer time required for diversion or protection while spans are erected and welded.	
Less risk of delay to contract (see comments on programming).	Greater risk of delay to contract may be reflected in an increased contract sum.	
Programming		
and can be programmed with greater certainty.	Welding of splices will normally extend the time required for construction, particularly over existing roads. This is because it extends the critical path activities and because of:	
	 need to erect tents around the splices to 	

• completion of welding; the multi-run welds required for a thick flange will take time

allow effective preheating and welding under

· possible need for infilling of cope holes to achieve the required fatigue life

NDT of welds

all weather conditions

The minimum hold period before testing given in EN 1090-2 Table 23 (typically 40 hours for butt welds in S355 steel) is warranted, to safeguard against hydrogen cracking. This delay would then apply in addition to any repairs.

additional corrosion protection activities of blast cleaning, aluminium metal spraying and sealant coat application.

Little risk of remedial work, and probably only Greater risk of remedial work being required. minor repainting, which could be done quickly or Any welding remedial work could cause delay. during closure for other work.

Negligible risk of delay to contract completion.

Risk of delay to contract completion if the construction of spans over a motorway (or, worse still, over a railway) is on the critical path.

General design comments

Whether bolting or welding a splice, it is good practice to place splices where forces are lowest (i.e. at points of contraflexure), and to enable connections to be completed with zero bending moment (this avoids extra jacking activity).

1. Hayward A and Weare F, Steel Detailer's Manual, Second Edition (revised by Oakhill), Blackwell Science, 2002

(See also references in GN 2.06)